

EXHIBIT 56

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL-- ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

Page 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

ORACLE, USA, INC., a Colorado)
corporation; ORACLE AMERICA,)
INC., a Delaware corporation;)
and ORACLE INTERNATIONAL)
CORPORATION, a California)
corporation,)
Plaintiffs,)
vs.) No. 2:10-cv-00106
RIMINI STREET, INC., a) -LRH-PAL
Nevada corporation; SETH)
RAVIN, an individual,)
Defendants.)

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF DAVID RADTKE

WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 7, 2011

REPORTED BY:

JANIS JENNINGS, CSR 3942, CLR, CCRR

PAGES 1 - 325

Veritext National Deposition & Litigation Services
866 299-5127

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL-- ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF DAVID RADTKE,
8 taken on behalf of the Plaintiff, at BINGHAM
9 McCUTCHEON, LLP, Three Embarcadero Center,
10 28th Floor, San Francisco, California,
11 commencing at 9:04 a.m., Wednesday,
12 September 7, 2011, before Janis Jennings,
13 Certified Shorthand Reporter No. 3942, CLR,
14 CCRR.
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

Page 2

1 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA;
2 WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 7, 2011; 9:04 A.M.
3 --oo--
4 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. We are
5 on the record, ladies and gentlemen, at 9:04 a.m. 09:04:29
6 on September 7th, 2011. This is the videotaped
7 deposition of David Radtke.
8 My name is Benjamin Gerald, here with
9 our court reporter Janis Jennings. We are here from
10 Veritext National Deposition & Litigation Services 09:04:52
11 at the request of counsel for plaintiff.
12 This deposition is being held at
13 Three Embarcadero Center in City of San Francisco,
14 California.
15 The caption of this case is Oracle, 09:05:10
16 USA, Incorporated, et al., versus Rimini Street,
17 Incorporated, and Seth Ravin. The case number is
18 2:10-cv-00106-LRH-PAL.
19 Please note that audio and video recording
20 will take place unless all parties agree to go off 09:05:48
21 the record. Microphones are sensitive and may pick
22 up whispers, private conversations and cellular
23 interference.
24 At this time will counsel and all present
25 please identify themselves for the record. 09:05:59
Page 4

1 APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:
2
3 FOR THE PLAINTIFFS:
4 BINGHAM MCCUTCHEON LLP
5 BY: JOHN POLITO, ESQ.
6 GEOFFREY M. HOWARD, ESQ.
7 ZACHARY HILL, ESQ.
8 Three Embarcadero Center
9 San Francisco, California 94111-4067
10 415.393.2314
11 john.polito@bingham.com
12 geoff howard@bingham.com
13 zachary hill@bingham.com
14
15 FOR THE DEFENDANTS:
16 SHOOK, HARDY & BACON LLP
17 By: ROBERT RECKERS, ESQ.
18 JP Morgan Chase Towers
19 600 Travis Street, Suite 1600
20 Houston, Texas 77002
21 713.227.8008
22 rreckers@shb.com
23
24 ALSO PRESENT:
25 BENJAMIN GERALD, Videographer

Page 3

1 MR. POLITO: John Polito for Oracle.
2 MR. HILL: Zachary Hill for Oracle.
3 MR. HOWARD: Geoff Howard for Oracle.
4 MR. RECKERS: Rob Reckers for the
5 defendants. 09:06:11
6 THE WITNESS: David Radtke for Rimini
7 Street.
8 MR. RECKERS: Good enough.
9 THE WITNESS: Good enough?
10 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. 09:06:17
11 Would the reporter swear in the witness.
12
13 DAVID RADTKE,
14 The deponent herein, was sworn and
15 testified as follows: 09:06:18
16
17 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you.
18 Please proceed.
19
20 EXAMINATION 09:06:33
21 BY MR. POLITO:
22 Q. Good morning, Mr. Radtke.
23 A. Good morning.
24 Q. My name is John Polito. We met just
25 before your deposition began. I'm here representing 09:06:38
Page 5

Pages 2 to 5

Veritext National Deposition & Litigation Services
866 299-5127

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL-- ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

<p>1 Oracle. 2 Have you had your deposition taken before, 3 Mr. Radtke? 4 A. No, I have not. 5 Q. I'm sure you've been ably prepared by 09:06:44 6 counsel, but I do want to remind you of a few rules. 7 Even though we're here in a lovely conference room 8 in an informal setting, the oath that you swore has 9 the same force and effect as if you were present in 10 a court of law. 09:07:00 11 Do you understand that? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. The court reporter is taking down 14 everything that you say and everything that I say. 15 The court reporter may not be able to take down 09:07:05 16 head gestures so please respond verbally rather than 17 with -- rather than physically. Also please say 18 "yes" or "no" rather than "uh-huh" or "huh-huh" 19 because those are very ambiguous on the record. 20 Okay? 09:07:17 21 A. Okay. 22 Q. If I ask a question that you do not 23 understand, please ask me for clarification; 24 otherwise I will assume that you've understood 25 my question. Is that fair? 09:07:27</p>	<p>1 Q. Anyone else on the phone? 2 A. No. 3 Q. Did you discuss what you said at that 4 meeting with anyone else? 5 A. No, I haven't. 09:08:21 6 Q. How long did you meet yesterday? 7 A. Approximately 6 and a half, 7 hours. 8 Q. Is that the only preparation that you did 9 for your deposition today? 10 A. Yes, it is. 09:08:29 11 Q. Did you review any documents yesterday? 12 A. Miscellaneous documents that Rob had 13 presented me with. Yeah. 14 Q. You reviewed a series of documents that 15 counsel presented to you? 09:08:44 16 A. Uh-huh. Uh-huh. 17 Q. Did any of those documents remind you of 18 something that you had forgotten? 19 A. No, they didn't. 20 Q. Generally speaking, what kind of documents 09:08:51 21 were they? 22 A. Oh, things like emails and instant 23 messengers -- instant messaging logs, and then 24 that's about it. 25 Q. Any technical materials? 09:09:02</p>
<p>1 A. Yes. 2 Q. And you'll have an opportunity to 3 review and comment upon the transcript after the 4 deposition. Do you understand that? 5 A. Yes. 09:07:35 6 Q. But any changes that you make, anyone -- 7 can comment on those changes at trial. Do you 8 understand? 9 A. Yes. 10 Q. Is there any reason that you can't give me 09:07:41 11 your best testimony today? 12 A. No. 13 Q. Do you have any physical or mental 14 conditions that would interfere with your ability 15 to testify to the best of your ability today? 09:07:53 16 A. No, I don't. I have a slight head cold, 17 but that's about it so... 18 Q. I think that should be fine. 19 What did you do to prepare for your 20 deposition today, Mr. Radtke? 09:08:02 21 A. I met with our attorneys yesterday. 22 Q. And by your attorneys -- 23 A. Rob; yes. 24 Q. Anyone else present at that? 25 A. No. 09:08:13</p>	<p>1 A. No, nothing like that. 2 Q. Okay. Did you look at the transcripts of 3 any other depositions in this matter? 4 A. No, I haven't. No. 5 Q. Did you take any notes during your prep 09:09:12 6 with -- 7 A. No, I did not. 8 Q. Have you looked at any of the written 9 documents in this case; the complaint or the 10 discovery responses? 09:09:23 11 A. No, I have not. 12 Q. Did you bring anything with you today 13 relating to your deposition? 14 A. No. 15 DEPOSITION REPORTER: We need to go off 09:09:32 16 the record for a moment. 17 MR. POLITICO: Sure. Let's go off the 18 record. 19 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9:09 a.m. 20 and we are off the record. 09:09:38 21 (Off the record.) 22 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9:12 a.m. 23 and we are back on the record. 24 BY MR. POLITICO: 25 Q. Mr. Radtke, you're currently employed by 09:12:46 Page 7</p>

Pages 6 to 9

Veritext National Deposition & Litigation Services
866 299-5127

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL-- ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1 Rimini Street?
2 A. Yes.
3 Q. And your title is Senior PeopleSoft
4 Support Developer?
5 A. Actually, I've moved to another team. 09:12:53
6 Q. Okay.
7 A. It used to be Senior PeopleSoft Developer.
8

1

Page 10

Page 12

1
2 Q. Did you know C# before you joined Rimini
3 Street?
4 A. No, I did not.
5 Q. Are you generally facile at programming 09:14:15
6 languages, though?
7 A. Yes, I am.
8 Q. Such that it was easy for you to pick that
9 up?
10 A. Yes. Yes, it is. 09:14:27
11

1

Page 11

Page 13

Pages 10 to 13

Veritext National Deposition & Litigation Services
866 299-5127

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL-- ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

<p>1</p> <p>20 Your previous technical job before Rimini 09:18:34 21 Street was working at PeopleSoft or Oracle; is that 22 correct?</p> <p>23 A. That would have been a number -- technical 24 job, yes, that is correct.</p> <p>25 Q. Okay. And in between you were the founder 09:18:45</p>	<p>1 A. I'm not aware of that so I wouldn't -- I 2 can't recall.</p> <p>3 Q. Okay. But in 2002?</p> <p>4 A. Yeah, I left in 2002.</p> <p>5 Q. Okay. So what version was the current 09:20:07 6 version of financials at that time?</p> <p>7 A. I think it was 8.1 financials or 8.4</p> <p>8 financials. Maybe it was 8.4.</p> <p>9 Q. Okay. I'm not sure that there is an 8.1 10 so... 09:20:19</p> <p>11 A. Okay. I can't -- that was a long time 12 ago. But I think it was 8.4.</p> <p>13 Q. And at that time were you programming in 14 COBOL?</p> <p>15 A. No, I was not. 09:20:27</p> <p>16 Q. Were you programming in SQR?</p> <p>17 A. No, I was not.</p> <p>18 Q. What were you -- were you writing any code 19 at that time?</p> <p>20 A. PeopleCode and SQL would have been the two 09:20:33 21 natural code languages I was using.</p> <p>22 Q. So "PeopleCode" meaning the code that is 23 created using Application Designer?</p> <p>24 A. In App Designer, yes, yes.</p> <p>25 Q. And that's part of PeopleTools? 09:20:48</p>
<p>Page 14</p> <p>1 and president of a music school?</p> <p>2 A. Yes, that's correct.</p> <p>3 Q. So when did you leave PeopleSoft or 4 Oracle?</p> <p>5 A. It would have been PeopleSoft at that 09:18:53 6 time, and that was approximately May of 2002.</p> <p>7 Q. And what was your title at that time when 8 you left?</p> <p>9 A. I believe it was a Senior Software 10 Developer. 09:19:10</p> <p>11 Q. To whom did you report?</p> <p>12 A. The manager's name was Vivek Salgar.</p> <p>13 Q. And what were your responsibilities 14 generally at PeopleSoft?</p> <p>15 A. I was working on the financials 09:19:28 16 architecture team. We were responsible for -- and 17 this was strictly on the financials product line, 18 which is like general ledger, accounts payable, 19 expenses. So the architecture team was responsible 20 for streamlining and integrating those products. 09:19:46</p> <p>21 Q. Integrating them with what?</p> <p>22 A. With each other so they just work more 23 efficiently together.</p> <p>24 Q. Was this before the merger of financials 25 and supply chain management? 09:19:57</p>	<p>Page 16</p> <p>1 A. Yes, it is.</p> <p>2 Q. And then when you say "SQL," are you 3 talking about SQL that is used as part of a Data 4 Mover script?</p> <p>5 A. No. I'm referring to "SQL" in a generic 09:20:58 6 sense that it's used by multiple databases. It's a 7 very common database language so at that time the 8 PeopleSoft product would run on many database 9 platforms.</p> <p>10 Q. Were you part of the PeopleTools team? 09:21:11</p> <p>11 A. No, I was not.</p> <p>12 Q. Isn't it true that PeopleTools actually 13 attempts to abstract away the differences between 14 databases?</p> <p>15 A. Maybe at some level, but I'm not aware of 09:21:22 16 what the -- how that would actually occur.</p> <p>17 Q. Is it generally the case that people would 18 take SQL fragments or SQL-like commands and put them 19 in a Data Mover script when they're working with 20 PeopleSoft applications? 09:21:36</p> <p>21 A. Yes, people do do that, yes.</p> <p>22</p>

Page 17

Pages 14 to 17

Veritext National Deposition & Litigation Services
866 299-5127

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL-- ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

<p>1 2</p> <p>20 Q. And you have several college degrees? 09:22:54 21 A. I have one college degree in computer 22 science and then I have several certificates that 23 I've accomplished over the years just to keep my 24 skill set up-to-date. 25 Q. And the computer science degree is from 09:23:09</p>	<p>1 17 Q. Have you ever spoken with your wife about 18 development tasks that you have at Rimini Street? 19 A. No, I have not. 20 Q. Has she ever spoken with you about 09:24:54 21 development tasks she has at Oracle after you 22 started working at Rimini Street? 23 A. No, she hasn't. 24 Q. Did you speak with her about your 25 deposition today? 09:25:04</p>
<p>Page 18</p> <p>1 California State University Hayward? 2 A. No. That's my Java programming 3 certificate. 4 Q. Oh, I'm sorry. What school is it from? 5 A. That would be from University of 09:23:18 6 Washington. 7 Q. In Washington State? 8 A. In Washington State, yes. 9 Q. Not the St. Louis one. 10 A. Oh, okay. Yeah. 09:23:27 11 Q. And where -- do you have certificates from 12 any other schools besides CSU Hayward? 13 A. Yes. I have two from Berkeley; Cal State 14 Berkeley -- 15 Q. Uh-huh. 09:23:34 16 A. -- one in object-oriented design and 17 another one in C++ programming. 18 Q. When did you secure your most recent 19 certificate? 20 A. That would have been June of 2011, so just 09:23:42 21 a few months ago. 22 Q. And that was the object-oriented 23 programming certificate? 24 A. That would have been Java programming, 25 yeah. 09:23:54</p>	<p>Page 20</p> <p>1 A. Well, she's aware that I'm here giving 2 one, but that's about the extent of it. 3 Q. You didn't reveal the contents of your 4 deposition? 5 A. I haven't revealed the contents -- she 09:25:11 6 knows why I'm here generally, yes. 7 Q. You testified earlier that you had a 8 general aptitude for programming languages. Is that 9 accurate? 10 A. Yes, I would say so. 09:25:35 11 Q. Can you rate your personal level of 12 expertise with SQR, which includes SQC? 13 A. I'd say I'm fairly highly proficient in 14 it. 15 Q. Is there anyone at Rimini Street whom you 09:25:47 16 would say is more proficient than you? 17 A. Currently? Maybe Tim Conley. He's done 18 more development in SQR than I have more recently. 19 Q. But is it fair to say you're one of the 20 most apt developers speaking about SQR and SQC? 09:26:03 21 A. I would say that fairly one of the -- 22 yeah, probably one of the most, yes. 23 Q. And what about PeopleCode? What's your 24 level of expertise with PeopleCode? 25 A. I'd say it's fairly accomplished. 09:26:23</p>

Pages 18 to 21

Veritext National Deposition & Litigation Services
866 299-5127

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL-- ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

<p>1 Q. What is PeopleCode? 2 A. It's a programming language that's used 3 in App Designer to develop logic generally for 4 online-type transactions within the PeopleSoft 5 system. So it will perform editing functions as 6 an example.</p> <p>7 Q. Is it like the event code that might be 8 triggered in Visual Basic, to use a rough analogy? 9 A. I would say it could be similar to that; 10 similar in the sense that, yes, you could have an 11 event that occurs and it triggers some code to 12 process, yes.</p> <p>13 Q. Is it fair that PeopleCode also does other 14 things besides event handling? 15 A. Well, yes, in the sense that it can be 16 processing in terms of looping and validation.</p> <p>17 Q. Have you kept up-to-date on changes in 18 PeopleCode after you left PeopleSoft? 19 A. No, I have not.</p> <p>20 Q. Are you able to use, for instance, Application Engine objects? 21 A. I would have to really go back and refresh 22 my memory on that. I haven't used Application 23 Engine in a very long time.</p> <p>24 Q. Is it fair to say that you are one of the 25 most expert PeopleCode developers at Rimini Street?</p>	<p>1 A. I'm not a very strong COBOL programmer. 2 Q. Well, which particular -- I'm sorry. 3 Did you use COBOL while you were at 4 PeopleSoft? 5 A. No, I did not. 09:29:01 6 Q. Have you used it while you're at Rimini 7 Street? 8 A. I have merely used it more as a -- to 9 assist other developers, but I haven't actually done 10 any development in COBOL. 09:29:13 11 Q. So in the sense of code review? 12 A. Code review, very light code reviews, 13 nothing too technical with the COBOL. But I haven't 14 actually created any COBOL statements of my own 15 since I've been at Rimini Street. 09:29:27 16 Q. Why did you leave PeopleSoft in 2002? 17 A. I was just looking for something else to 18 do with my career. I had a very strong interest in 19 entrepreneurialism and wanting to do something on my 20 own. And I enjoyed music very much and just decided 21 that I was really interested in pursuing something 22 else. So the bottom line is those would be the 23 reasons why I was leaving PeopleSoft. 24 Q. So why did you come back to programming 25 work? 09:30:06</p>
<p>Page 22</p>	<p>Page 24</p>

<p>1 most expert PeopleCode developers at Rimini Street? 2 A. Yes, I'd say that would be a fair 3 statement. 4 Q. If I say the phrase "online objects," 5 would that include PeopleCode? 09:27:52 6 A. Yes, that would. 7 Q. What else would that include? 8 A. It could also include pages, records -- 9 record structures actually, different than records 10 that would be in a database; fields. So it would be 11 things like that, PeopleCode as well, yeah. 12 Q. Is that -- are those a set of user 13 interface components? 14 A. I'm not exactly sure. You'd have to be 15 a little more specific. "User interface" could be 16 different... 17 Q. Sure. Do they show up on a page? 18 A. Okay. Yes. Yes, they do. Yes. The 19 pages in the fields, text, yes, they'll show up 20 on a page. 09:28:35 21 Q. And you can also hang PeopleCode off of 22 those, to use a nontechnical term? 23 A. Yes, you can. Yes. 24 Q. How expert are you in the use of the COBOL 25 programming language? 09:28:49</p>	<p>1 A. Well, it was really more of a personal 2 situation. So after running a business for six 3 years, having two children during that time, working 4 very long hours in my own business, I came to a 5 realization that I wanted to spend more time with my 6 family and it was just much easier and simpler to 7 work for somebody else. 8 Q. Did you seek reemployment at Oracle when 9 you decided to go back to development work? 10 A. No, I did not. 09:30:37 11 Q. How did you find out about Rimini Street? 12 A. My wife actually worked with Susan 13 Tahtaras at PeopleSoft and so we -- Susan was 14 essentially a referral -- I was a referral to Rimini 15 Street through my wife via Susan. 09:30:53 16 Q. To make sure I understand, did 17 Ms. Tahtaras suggest to your wife that you should 18 work at Rimini Street? 19 A. No, I don't think she did. 20 Q. Okay. 09:31:12 21 A. No. No. This would have been my wife 22 referring me to Susan and then Rimini Street 23 reviewing my resume, calling me in for an interview. 24 Q. Got it. 25 A. Okay. 09:31:25</p>
---	---

Pages 22 to 25

Veritext National Deposition & Litigation Services
866 299-5127

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL-- ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1

1

Page 62

Page 64

1

1

Page 63

Page 65

Pages 62 to 65

Veritext National Deposition & Litigation Services
866 299-5127

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL-- ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

Pages 250 to 253

Veritext National Deposition & Litigation Services
866 299-5127

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL-- ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1

1

Page 262

Page 264

1

1

Page 263

Page 265

Pages 262 to 265

Veritext National Deposition & Litigation Services
866 299-5127

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL-- ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1 status meeting?
2 A. Not specifically. It would have been most
3 likely mentioned by Jim Benge.
4 Q. Have you been personally directed to
5 change any of your development processes as a 17:32:34
6 result of Oracle's lawsuit against Rimini Street?
7 A. Not that I can recall.
8 Q. Are you aware of whether any of Rimini
9 Street's development processes have been changed as
10 a result of Oracle's lawsuit filed against Rimini 17:32:45
11 Street?
12 A. I'm not aware of any changes as a result
13 of the lawsuit.
14 Q. You began working at Rimini Street shortly
15 before or sorry about a year after Oracle 17:32:54
16 filed its lawsuit against TomorrowNow, a separate
17 case.
18 A. A few months. Not quite a year, I
19 believe.
20 Q. You started in May March 2008. 17:33:12
21 A. Yes.
22 Q. And the lawsuit was filed in March 2007.
23 A. Okay.
24 Q. So
25 A. Somewhere okay. 17:33:17

Page 310

Page 312

1 Q. did you ever participate in discussions
2 at Rimini Street about Oracle's lawsuit against
3 TomorrowNow?
4 A. No, I did not.
5 Q. Do you know whether any of Rimini Street's 17:33:24
6 development activity was modified as a result of
7 Oracle's lawsuit against TomorrowNow?
8 A. I don't know.
9 MR. POLITO: Let's mark as Exhibit 416 an

10

1

10 MR. POLITO: Mr. Radtke, those are all the 17:36:19
11 questions I have at this time.
12 THE WITNESS: Okay.
13 MR. RECKERS: All right. We reserve his
14 questions.
15 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This marks the end of 17:36:25
16 disk No. 5 of 5 and concludes today's deposition of
17 David Radtke. The time is 5:36 p.m. and we are off
18 the record.
19 (Whereupon, the deposition concluded.)
20 --00--
21
22
23
24
25

Page 311

Page 313

Pages 310 to 313

Veritext National Deposition & Litigation Services
866 299-5127

1 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2

3 I, JANIS L. JENNINGS, a Certified
4 Shorthand Reporter of the State of California, do
5 hereby certify:

6 That the foregoing proceedings were taken
7 before me at the time and place herein set forth;
8 that any witnesses in the foregoing proceedings,
9 prior to testifying, were placed under oath; that a
10 verbatim record of the proceedings was made by me
11 using machine shorthand which was thereafter
12 transcribed under my direction; further, that the
13 foregoing is an accurate transcription thereof.

14 I further certify that I am neither
15 financially interested in the action nor a relative
16 or employee of any attorney of any of the parties.

17 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have this date
18 subscribed my name.

19
20 Dated: September 14, 2011
21

22 
23 JANIS JENNINGS
24 CSR NO. 3942, CLR, CRP
25

Page 315

Veritext National Deposition & Litigation Services
866 299-5127

ERRATA SHEET

WITNESS NAME: David Radtke Date: September 07, 2011

I, David Radtke, have read the foregoing deposition and hereby affix my signature
that same is true and correct, except as noted above.

Date: 10/4/2011

David R. Colle

Name _____